

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I move :

“That the Madras Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1966 be passed.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the Madras Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1966 be passed.”

The motion was adopted.

THE MOTOR VEHICLES (MYSORE AMENDMENT) BILL, 1966.

Motion to consider.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS (Minister for Transport and Tourism).—I beg to move :

“That the Motor Vehicles (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1966 be taken into consideration.”

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—I raised an objection for introduction yesterday and it was not introduced.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, members will kindly note that the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation (Amendment) Bill, 1966 is different from Motor Vehicles (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1966. We are now dealing with Motor Vehicles (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1966.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—Sir, according to rule 71 of the Rules of Procedure, where a motion is opposed on the ground that the Bill initiates legislation outside the legislative competence of the Assembly, the Speaker may permit a full discussion thereon.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is the hon'ble member keen on the rule or on the Debate? Let him not invoke the rule because it was introduced yesterday. I am prepared to hear if it is *ultra vires*.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—I raised the point and then you left at it. If it is not introduced, I will speak. If the report is that it is introduced, then I am sorry.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Member does not want to speak, I am not in the least worried. By merely resuming the seat he does not help the debate.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—I am not particular about the debate. I wanted to oppose the introduction itself.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Introduction is over yesterday.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—Please refer to the proceedings. I raised the objection and you kept quiet and you never said that it is introduced. If it is introduced, I resume my seat.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I often carry wrong impression. I will have it clarified.

Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palya).—The Minister introduced the motion. Then protest was made and then you kept quiet.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is not my introducing the Bill. The Minister introduced it.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Should it not be said that it is introduced?

Mr. SPEAKER.—In the meanwhile, you can point out the constitutional invalidity.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Mr. Gopal Gowda may speak.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Supposing the objection is upheld, there is no use of discussion. If we are asked to discuss, the Speaker will be prejudging the issue.

2-30 P.M.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—If there is any misreporting to you, I am sorry, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am more sorry. I said I will get yesterday's reports. If the Hon'ble Member has no patience, I cannot help it.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—It is not a question of patience. I want to know whether I should proceed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not at all concerned whether the Hon'ble Member proceeds or not.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—I do not want to participate.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not in the least concerned. But that is not the attitude to be adopted in the House. I am trying to help the members as far as possible. I am saying I will get the proceedings, but till it is brought, the Member cannot say that nothing should take place.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—*Prima facie* if there is something illegal, how can we take it up, Sir? Unless there is an objection registered there, he cannot be allowed to speak on the objection.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Suppose his objection is not registered. I want to give him a chance, what prevents?

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—Sir, it will be infructuous if the Bill is not introduced.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I want the Member to be fully fructuous.

(Sri S. Gopala Gowda stood up to speak)

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO (Mysore City).—May I rise to a point of order? If the Speaker were to be so very literal and ask us to show the rule, there is a rule. I will read it if I am permitted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Sir, Anna Rao raised the objection. Yesterday as the Bill was introduced the Speaker was pleased to say, unless there is a motion, there cannot be an objection and immediately a motion was made by the Minister that it may be introduced and before the Speaker announced the introduction, Sri Anna Rao raised an objection on constitutional point. Therefore.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then what happened?

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—At that stage, it was open to the Speaker to have disposed of the matter then and there and then allowed the discussion to go on, or declare the Bill as introduced. If our memories do not fail, it was left at that because, the Speaker to our hearing, did not announce that the Bill was introduced. Therefore, we take it that the objection was there and that it would be heard on the next day. Before the objection is heard and disposed of, if the debate is allowed to continue, it could be presumed that the Speaker has made up his mind not to allow the objection to be raised and therefore forestalling the opposition in this matter. And therefore Sir, if the Speaker has already made up his mind or if the Speaker is inclined to allow the Members to have their say, then no discussion can take place before deciding that matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is a fine point of order that has been raised. It deals with the initiation or continuance of the debate on this Bill. I will look into the proceedings and it can be taken up a little later after the next Bill is over. Meantime, the next Bill may be taken up.

MYSORE MOTOR VEHICLES TAXATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1966.

Motion to consider.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS (Minister for Labour and Transport).—Sir, I beg to move :

“That the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation (Amendment) Bill, 1966 be taken into consideration.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation (Amendment) Bill, 1966, be taken into consideration.”

†ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, 1966ರ ಪುರುವರ್ತೀ ಒಂದು ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಂದು ಗುಡ್ಸ್ ವೆಹಿಕಲ್ಸ್‌ಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಇದ್ದಂತಹ ತೆರಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಅಗ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿದ್ದೆವು. ಅಗ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲೇ 10,500 ಕೆ. ಜಿ. ಯಷ್ಟು ಹೊರೆ ಹೊತ್ತಿರುವ ಗುಡ್ಸ್ ವೆಹಿಕಲ್ಸ್‌ಗಳು 800 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ತೆರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇತ್ತು. ನಮ್ಮ ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲ ಈ ರೀತಿಮಾಡಿದುದರಿಂದ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಗುಡ್ಸ್ ವೆಹಿಕಲ್ಸ್‌ಗಳು ಬಹಳ ಉತ್ತಮ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಹೊರೆಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡುವುದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ತರಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈಗ ಗುಡ್ಸ್ ವೆಹಿಕಲ್ಸ್‌ಗಳು 12 ಸಾವಿರ, 13 ಸಾವಿರ 14 ಸಾವಿರ ಅಥವಾ 15 ಸಾವಿರ ಕೆ. ಜಿ. ಗಳಷ್ಟು ಹೊರೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊತ್ತುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿವೆ. ನಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ 10,500 ಕೆ. ಜಿ. ಗಳಷ್ಟು ತೂಕವಿರುವ ಗುಡ್ಸ್ ವೆಹಿಕಲ್ಸ್‌ಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೇವಲ 800 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಭಾರ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ತೆರಿಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಆಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಹಾಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೆಲವು ಅನಾನುಕೂಲಗಳೂ ಇದ್ದವು. ಈ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ನಾವು ಈಗ ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ವಿಧೇಯಕವನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಂದು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ 10,500 ಕೆ. ಜಿ. ಗಳಿಂದ 12,000 ಕೆ. ಜಿ. ಯಷ್ಟು ಹೊರೆಯಿರುವ ಗುಡ್ಸ್‌ಗಳ ವೆಹಿಕಲ್ಸ್‌ಗಳಿಗೆ 800 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ತೆರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು 12,000 ಕೆ. ಜಿ. ಗಳಿಗಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ತೂಕವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗು